# Review of Mainframe Access Controls at the Application Level Federal Financial System, Report No. 04-07, September 7, 2004

#### INTRODUCTION

This report presents the results of the Office of Inspector General's (OIG) audit of the effectiveness of access controls in ensuring security over the Federal Financial System (FFS), a component of the Railroad Retirement Board's (RRB) financial management application system.

# **Background**

The RRB administers the retirement/survivor and unemployment/sickness insurance benefit programs for railroad workers and their families under the Railroad Retirement Act (RRA) and the Railroad Unemployment Insurance Act (RUIA). These programs provide income protection during old age and in the event of disability, death, temporary unemployment or sickness. The RRB paid out nearly \$9 billion in benefits during fiscal year (FY) 2003.

The RRB's information system environment consists of two general support systems and seven major application systems. The two general support systems are the data processing system, which supports all mainframe computing activity, and the end-user computing system, which supports the agency's local (LAN) and wide area networks. The major application systems correspond to the RRB's critical operational activities: payment of RRA and RUIA benefits, maintenance of compensation and service records, administration of Medicare entitlement, financial management, personnel/payroll, and the RRB's financial interchange with the Social Security Administration.

The agency's Chief Information Officer, also the director of the RRB's Bureau of Information Services, has overall responsibility for administration of both data processing and end-user computing as well as in-house systems development. Within the Bureau of Information Services, the Chief Security Officer has primary responsibility for coordinating, evaluating and reporting on information security within the agency.

FFS is a mainframe application that supports financial management and reporting including control of the agency's budget, procurement and preparation of the interim and annual financial reports. Access to the mainframe environment is password protected. FFS includes an additional system of security functions that controls user accesses, document approval processing procedures and logging features.

The Bureau of Fiscal Operations is the owner-of-record for FFS and has responsibility for system administration. The system administrator maintains the security settings within FFS, including the access privileges of new and existing users. FFS is used extensively throughout the agency. In December 2003, approximately 500 of the agency's 1,128 employees had access to FFS.

Information security is defined as protecting information and information systems from unauthorized access, use, disclosure, disruption, modification or destruction in order to provide integrity, confidentiality and availability. Access controls limit or detect access to computer resources (data, programs, equipment, and facilities), thereby protecting these resources against unauthorized modification, loss, and disclosure. Previous OIG security evaluations cited the agency for a material weakness due to significant deficiencies in access controls in both the mainframe and end-user computing environments and in the training provided to staff with significant security responsibilities.

The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has published guidance to assist Federal managers in meeting the management control and computer security requirements of the Computer Security Act of 1987, the Chief Financial Officers Act of 1990, and the Clinger-Cohen Act of 1996. OMB Circular A-130, "Management of Federal Information Resources," Appendix III, dated November 30, 2000, establishes policy for the management of Federal information resources and establishes a minimum set of controls to be included in Federal automated information security programs.

This evaluation was conducted pursuant to the E-Government Act of 2002 (P.L. 107-347), Title III, the Federal Information Security Management Act of 2002 (FISMA), which requires annual Inspector General security evaluations.

# **Objective, Scope and Methodology**

The objective of this evaluation was to assess the effectiveness of access controls in limiting and detecting access to the FFS system.

In order to accomplish our objective, we:

- identified users of FFS as of December 2003 and documented their system privileges;
- obtained an understanding of the security configuration of the FFS system;
- obtained an understanding of the policies and procedure through which system access is requested, authorized, granted and maintained;
- obtained an understanding of the access re-authorization process through discussions with responsible management and staff, and reviews of supporting documentation as available: and
- used statistical sampling to assess the effectiveness of controls in limiting access to FFS.

Our sampling methodology and results are presented in Appendix I to this report.

Our work was performed in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards as applicable to the objective. Fieldwork was conducted at RRB headquarters in Chicago, Illinois during December 2003 through May 2004.

#### **RESULTS OF REVIEW**

Our audit tests disclosed that existing controls are not adequate to ensure that FFS users are limited to only those system privileges required for the performance of their current jobs. In addition, we observed that FFS features designed to ensure accountability for changes to certain security settings have not been implemented. We also questioned the level of assurance provided by current document approval settings.

The details of our findings and recommendations follow. Management has agreed to take the recommended corrective action. The full text of the responses of the Bureaus of Information Services and Fiscal Operations are included in this report as appendices II and III respectively.

# **Controls Are Not Effective in Limiting Access**

The RRB's existing control framework is not adequate to ensure that the access privileges granted to users of the FFS are limited to those required for their performance of their current job. Our conclusion is based on the results of a statistical sample that indicate the agency has not ensured that the privileges of at least 95% of FFS users have been appropriately restricted.

OMB Circular A-130 requires Federal agencies to limit a user's access (to data files, processing capability, or peripherals) or type of access (read, execute, delete) to the minimum necessary to perform his or her job. Current RRB policy calls for periodic system re-authorization reviews, an internal control process designed to identify changes in user needs. During the re-authorization, supervisors have the opportunity to review the current access privileges of their staff and identify any needed changes or corrections.

The Bureau of Fiscal Operations, the system owner, is responsible for ensuring that reauthorization reviews are scheduled and completed. The Bureau of Fiscal Operations has not performed a re-authorization review for nearly five years. The last reauthorization of the FFS system was conducted in 1999. A review scheduled for FY 2003 was not performed and had not been re-scheduled as of the end of our fieldwork.

In addition to the lack of an effective re-authorization process, we also noted that higher-level executives are often granted system privileges for document entry and approval although these tasks are typically performed by subordinate staff members.

During the period of our review, the agency's Chief Security Officer, organizationally within the Bureau of Information Services Risk Management Group, had not assumed any direct oversight responsibility for this process. The lack of effective procedures and controls to ensure that FFS user accesses are limited to the requirements of their current job weakens the overall structure of information security.

#### Recommendations

#### We recommend that:

- 1. The Bureau of Information Services implement a quality assurance program to ensure the effectiveness of the re-authorization process for FFS. Such a process should include:
  - a review for completeness of documentation;
  - periodic testing to verify the effectiveness of the process; and
  - issuance of an annual report communicating to the Chief Information Officer the results of the annual re-authorization process including an objective assessment of its overall effectiveness.
- 2. The Bureau of Fiscal Operations, as the system owner, coordinate a review of pre-defined security profiles to ensure that they properly reflect current job requirements.

#### Management's Response

The Bureau of Information Services concurs with the recommendation for implementation of a quality assurance program and state that they have already submitted a personnel request to assign staff; however, due to limited resources, the implementation of the program will be a multi-phased approach.

The Bureau of Fiscal Operations agrees that predefined security profiles for FFS users should reflect their current job requirements and will conduct a review of FFS security profiles.

# **Accountability for Changes to Core Security Controls Not Ensured**

Existing controls do not provide adequate accountability for changes to FFS' core security tables. As a result, the system audit trail is not adequate to identify the sources of changes to security settings.

OMB Circular A-130 requires Federal information systems provide accountability. Accountability is defined as the existence of a record that permits the identification of an individual who performed some specific activity so that responsibility for that activity can

be established. We would have expected to see an audit trail, in the form of transaction logs, for changes to all core security tables to ensure accountability as well as separation of duties between those system users who initiate/approve changes and the agency personnel who review the logs.

FFS has the capability to provide accountability through the creation of logs that capture date, time and initiator of changes to security tables. However, this feature has not been implemented for the tables that comprise FFS' core system security.

Only FFS system administrators can initiate changes to system security settings. The system administrators determine which changes will be logged. The need for logging changes to core security tables was overlooked because of the small number of individuals within the agency who can make such changes and the strong trust relationship among them.

#### Recommendation

We recommend that:

the Chief Security Officer work with the system administrator to determine which security-related transactions should be logged, and identify the appropriate level of management to receive and review the logs.

# Management's Response

The Bureau of Information Services concurs with the recommendation and has agreed that the Chief Security Officer will work with the FFS system administrator to determine which security-related transactions should be logged and the appropriate level of management to receive and review them.

# **Implementation of Document Approvals**

The document approval functions of FFS have been implemented in a manner that implies a higher level of assurance about separation of duties than is actually achieved.

Transaction processing typically requires at least one level of approval; however, the system has been configured so that:

- transactions requiring only one level of approval can be authorized by the same person who enters the transaction, and
- transactions requiring multiple levels of approval can be fully authorized by a single individual.

Transactions, such as document approvals, should be executed in accordance with management's directives.<sup>1</sup> The security settings for individual transactions within FFS imply a high level of control through strict separation of duties which, in reality, has not been achieved. As a result, we question whether the current combination of system settings achieves management's intentions with respect to separation of duties and the authorization of transactions.

# Recommendation

We recommend that:

4. the Bureau of Fiscal Operations coordinate a review of the core security settings to ensure that the configuration of document approvals and award of approval privileges has properly implemented management's intentions with respect to transaction processing.

#### Management's Response

The Bureau of Fiscal Operations agrees with the recommendation and will conduct a review of the core security settings.

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> "Standards for Internal Control in the Federal Government," General Accounting Office, November 1999. GAO/AIMD-00-21.3.1

#### Sampling Methodology and Results

We used statistical sampling to assess the effectiveness of controls designed to limit FFS user access to those privileges required in performance of their assigned duties.

### **Audit Objective**

The objective of our test was to determine whether the agency has been effective in restricting the privileges of users of FFS to only those required for performance of their current job.

# Scope

We selected the sample from the population of 527 FFS users as of December 2003.

# **Review Methodology**

We used statistical acceptance sampling using a 95% confidence and 5% tolerable error which directed a 142 case sample. The threshold for acceptance was three exceptions. Three exceptions would permit the auditors to infer, with 95% confidence, that controls were adequate to ensure that no fewer than 95% of FFS users had only the access privileges required for their current job.

Any user who had privileges that exceeded the requirements of their current position was counted as an exception.

#### **Results of Review**

Our evaluation of 142 randomly selected FFS user access profiles identified eight users whose access profile included privileges that were not required to perform current job responsibilities. We identified:

- five executives who had been given and maintained access to process procurement-related transactions that they did not use because those responsibilities had been delegated to subordinates;
- two employees who had retained privileges required for a previous position but not required by their current job; and
- one employee who had been granted privileges inconsistent with current or past job responsibilities.

# **Audit Conclusion**

The eight exceptions exceed the sample acceptance threshold. As a result, we <u>cannot</u> conclude that controls are adequate to ensure that at least 95% of FFS users had only the access privileges required for performance of their current job.





# MEMORANDUM

SEP 0 2 2004

RAILROAD RETIREMENT BOARD

TO

Henrietta Shaw

Assistant Inspector General, Audit

FROM :

Terri Morgan Win Mol

Acting, Chief Information Officer

SUBJECT:

Draft Report - Review of Mainframe Access Controls at the Application Level

Federal Financial System and Program Accounts Receivable System

We have completed our review of the subject reports dated August 20, 2004 and August 23, 2004 respectively, and submit to you our response to the recommendations for these systems.

# Recommendation 1

We recommend that the Bureau of Information Services implement a quality assurance program to ensure the timeliness and effectiveness of the re-authorization process for Program Accounts Receivable and Federal Financial Systems. Such a process should include:

- A review for completeness of documentation;
- Periodic testing to verify the effectiveness of the process; and
- Issuance of an annual report communicating to the Chief Information Officer the results
  of the annual re-authorization process including an objective assessment of its overall
  effectiveness

BIS Response – We concur with the recommendation for implementation of a quality assurance program for the reauthorization process. We have already submitted a request to the Bureau of Human Resources to create a temporary "Statement of Duties" position within the Architecture and Planning Group. The person selected will be responsible for the development, and preparation for the implementation, of the quality assurance program. Due to the limited number of resources that can be committed to the project, implementation of the program will be a multi-phased approach. The processes identified in the recommendation will be incorporated into the program. The tentative target date for completing the initial report of the results of the annual re-authorization process is six months from when the position is filled.

#### Recommendation 3

We recommend that the Chief Security Officer work with the system administrator to determine which security-related transactions should be logged, and identify the appropriate level of management to receive and review the logs.

# **BIS Response**

We concur with the recommendation. The Chief Security Office will work with the system administrators of the Federal Financial and Program Accounts Receivable Systems, as well as business managers, to determine which security-related transactions should be logged, and will identify the appropriate level of management to receive and review the logs. These activities will be conducted, we will document which transactions are logged and identify the managers who will received and review the logs by December 31, 2004.



# UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT MEMORANDUM

FORM 6-115f [1-92] RAILROAD RETIREMENT BOARD

SEP 02 2004

TO

: Henrietta B. Shaw

Assistant Inspector General, Audit

FROM

: Kenneth P. Boehne

Chief Financial Officer

SUBJECT: Draft Report -

Review of Mainframe Access Controls at the Application Level

Tanneth & Sachre

Federal Financial System

Thank you for the opportunity to review and comment on the above draft report dated August 23, 2004. Our comments on the recommendations are as follows:

**Recommendation 2:** We agree that the pre-defined security profiles for users of the Federal Financial System should reflect their current job requirements. We will conduct a review of these profiles and implement any needed changes by December 31, 2004.

Recommendation 4: We agree that the configuration of document approvals and the delegation of approval privileges should properly reflect management's intentions with respect to transaction processing. We will conduct a review of the core security settings and implement any needed changes by December 31, 2004.

We have no comments on the other recommendations in this draft report. If you have any questions concerning our comments, please advise.